

CORRECTIONS TO THE NOMENCLATURAL REVISION OF  
 A MIDDLE TRIASSIC SPERIFERIDA  
 SPECIES

Cs. Detre

In a paper by V. ZEPHAROVICH (1865, p. 369) appears a short, one-phrase diagnosis due to E. SUESS of a new spiriferid found at "Köves-Kállya" (former name of the village Köveskál, N of Lake Balaton, Transdanubia, Hungary).

The new species has not been given a specific name ; it was mentioned as Spiriferina n. sp. only.

In a subsequent paper by D. STUR (1865, p. 243.) this species was designated Spiriferina Köveskályensis SUESS.

Recently, M. SIBLIK (1970, p. 348) considers D. STUR as the auctor of the species, quoting article 16. (ai) of the ICZN :

"Il nome specifico quindi comparve solo nel 1865 nell' articolo di D. STUR, senza essere tuttavia corredato da figure. Ma poiché questo nome é accompagnato da un' indicazione, esso é valido (ICZN, Art. 16, ai), e quindi il geologo slovacco D. STUR deve venir come l' A. di questa specie, introdotta nel 1865 ."

SIBLIK seems to be right, if one takes into consideration article 16 of the ICZN only, disregarding Recommendation 51A/c/ of article 51:

"Citation of contributors. - If a scientific name and its validating conditions are the responsibility not of the author/s/ of the publication containing them, but only of one (or some) of the authors, or some other zoologist, the name of the author/s/, if cited, is to be stated as "B in A" or B in A+B, or whatever combination is appropriate."

D. STUR himself mentioned that the name "Köveskályensis" originated from SUESS as its auctor. This is supported by the fact that subsequently all authors agreed in SUESS being the auctor of the name (as first, BÖCKH J. , 1873.)

Relying upon Recommendation 51A/c/ of article 51 of the ICZN, SUESS is to be regarded undoubtedly as auctor of the specific name "Köveskályensis", the name of STUR should appear conveniently, according to the formula "B in A", as "SUESS in STUR, 1865".

BÖCKH, J. (1873, p. 175) substituted, correctly, the miswritten name "Köveskályensis" by "Köveskalliensis". Henceforth in the entire relevant literature the name is to be found according to this orthography : K/k/öveskalliensis, with one single exception (PHILIPPI, 1895). A further slight - and acceptable - latinization is "koeveskalliensis" (substituting "oe" instead of the Hungarian spelling "ö"/, by DIENER 1920, p. 54.

A. DAGYS (1965, p. 172) cites BÖCKH as auctor of the species. This cannot be accepted, because the change introduced by BÖCKH represents a simple case of "ulterior transliteration". According to article 33, (ai) of the ICZN in the case of such well-founded orthographic emendation the corrected name is to be attributed to the original auctor and date.

As for the generic name, DAGYS (1965) created for the species a new genus baptized Koeveskallina, the genotypic species of which is, accordingly, and by its correct denomination, Koeveskallina koeveskalliensis (SUESS in STUR, 1865).

